

## EU: GDPR Fine Tracker (October)

Country	Authority	Date	Fine (EUR)	Fine (original currency)	Controller/Processor	Sector	Quoted Article	Ground	Summary	Additional information
<b>SPAIN</b>	Spanish Data Protection Authority (aepd)	1 October 2019	18 000,00 €		Vueling Airlines	Services	Art. 5, 6 GDPR	Insufficient legal basis	The company's website did not allow users to continue navigation without consenting to the cookies. The data subjects had no possibility to refuse the use of tracking files on their devices.	<a href="#">link</a>
<b>GREECE</b>	Hellenic Data Protection Authority (HDPa)	7 October 2019	200 000,00 €		Telecommunication service provider	IT/Tech	Art. 5(1)c), 21(3), 25 GDPR	Noncompliance with processing principles	Despite the refusal to receive telemarketing calls, a large amount of customers were subject to unsolicited calls. Moreover, due to some technical difficulties, over 8 000 of the accounts were not deleted upon the users' requests.	<a href="#">link</a>
<b>ROMANIA</b>	Romanian National Supervisory Authority for Personal Data Processing (ANSPDCP)	9 October 2019	20 000,00 €		Credit company	Financial	Art. 32, 33 GDPR	Insufficient security measures	(joint decision) Raiffeisen Bank effectuated their credit scoring on the basis of the personal data provided to the bank by the Vreau Credit platform's	<a href="#">link</a>

									employees via WhatsApp. Subsequently, the bank was sending the results to the platform via WhatsApp as well.	
<b>ROMANIA</b>	Romanian National Supervisory Authority for Personal Data Processing (ANSPDCP)	9 October 2019	150 000,00 €		Raiffeisen Bank SA	Financial	Art. 32 GDPR	Insufficient security measures	(joint decision) Raiffeisen Bank effectuated their credit scoring on the basis of the personal data provided to the bank by the Vreau Credit platform's employees via WhatsApp. Subsequently, the bank was sending the results to the platform via WhatsApp as well.	<a href="#">link</a>
<b>SPAIN</b>	Spanish Data Protection Authority (aepd)	16 October 2019	8 000,00 €		Iberdola Clientes	Other	Art. 31 GDPR	Lack of cooperation with Data Protection Authority	The company in the energy sector did not respond to the DPA's request about the possibility to add data of a person requesting to change a supplier to the solvency list.	<a href="#">link</a>
<b>SPAIN</b>	Spanish Data Protection Authority (aepd)	16 October 2019	60 000,00 €		Xfera Moviles	IT/Tech	Art. 5, 6 GDPR	Insufficient legal basis	The company has used personal data without a valid legal basis to conclude a telecom contract and continued data processing even after a person requested to	<a href="#">link</a>

									restrict such processing.	
<b>ROMANIA</b>	Romanian National Supervisory Authority for Personal Data Processing (ANSPDCP)	17 October 2019	2 500,00 €		Industry	Services	Art. 5(1)c), 6, 12, 13 GDPR	Unsatisfactory information obligations	The controller was unable to prove that data subjects were correctly informed about the CCTV recording.	<a href="#">link</a>
<b>AUSTRIA</b>	Austrian Data Protection Authority (dsb)	29 October 2019	18 000 000,00 €		Austrian Post	Other	Art. 5 (1) a, 6 GDPR	Insufficient legal basis	Austrian Post has illegally used marketing data to create the users' profiles on the platform and to estimate their political affiliation. Their findings were sold to other companies.	<a href="#">link</a>