

K&L GATES

COVID-19: Key Issues for Swap and Repo Participants in a Difficult Market

May 13, 2020

Barry B. Cosgrave - London
Kenneth Holston - Boston
Robert T. Honeywell - New York
Brian D. Koosed - Washington DC
Anthony R.G. Nolan - New York

© Copyright 2020 by K&L Gates LLP. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

- COVID-19
 - Impacts financial products and assets
 - Implications for financial stability
 - Challenges basic assumptions of swaps and repos
- Key issues discussed today
 - Understanding the documentation
 - Understanding performance issues and defenses
 - Legal issues under New York, US and English law

DOCUMENTATION

- Swaps:
 - 1992 vs. 2002 ISDA master agreement
 - Role of definitions, esp. disruption events
 - NY CSA vs. English CSA
 - Schedule contains negotiated terms and amendments
- Repos:
 - 2000 or 2011 GMRA (English law) v 1996 MRA (NY law)
 - Annex contains negotiated terms and amendments

THE MECHANICS OF MARGIN CALLS

Swaps

- Margin calls preserve collateral securing net mark-to-market amount on all transactions (the "Exposure")
- Minimum Transfer Amount
- "Valuation Agent"
- Margin calls subject to dispute resolution

Repurchase Transactions

- Margin calls preserve the agreed margin ratio (or haircut) on repo'd assets:
 i.e., a % margin of the value of the repo'd assets above the contractual repurchase price
- Market value determination
- No dispute resolution provision

THE NEXT STEP: EVENTS OF DEFAULT OR TERMINATION EVENTS

- Overview of events of default and termination
- Solvency considerations:
 - Definitional differences in the master agreements
 - Older: 1992 ISDA, 1996 MRA, 2000 GMRA
 - Newer: 2002 ISDA, 2011 GMRA
 - But what is "insolvency" anyways?
- Force Majeure and COVID-19

THE NEXT-TO-LAST STEP: CALCULATING NET AMOUNTS DUE

Swaps

- Exposure is based on the early termination amount for the transaction in question.
- Depends on whether the transaction is documented under the 1992 ISDA master agreement ("loss" v. "market quotation") or the 2002 ISDA master agreement ("close-out amount").

Repurchase Transactions

- Exposure is based on the repurchase price: a fixed dollar amount equal to the original purchase price funded up-front plus the agreed price differential (akin to interest).
- MRA: Final claim is based on market price then obtainable for the repo'd assets.
- GMRA: "Default market value" approach

THE LAST STEP: LIQUIDATING COLLATERAL

- US law:
 - Commercial reasonableness under UCC Article 9
 - Impact of safe harbors
 - Bankruptcy Code
 - FDIC-insured financial institutions
 - broker-dealers
- English law:
 - Good faith
 - Close-out netting

CONCLUSION

- How is market value determined in volatile or illiquid markets?
- How and when is NAV determined?
- How to determine a fund's solvency?
- Is liquidation commercially reasonable / in good faith?



Barry B. Cosgrave

44.20.7360.8265

Barry.Cosgrave@klgates.com

Robert T. Honeywell

212.536.4863

Robert.Honeywell@klgates.com

Kenneth Holston

617.261.3154

Kenneth.Holston@klgates.com

Brian D. Koosed

202.778.9204

Brian.Koosed@klgates.com

Anthony R.G. Nolan

212.536.4843

anthony.nolan@klgates.com