

January 2016

Practice Group(s):
Corporate/M&A

More Flexible and Efficient, with Emphasis on Stakeholder Protection: Taiwan Amends the Business Mergers and Acquisitions Act

Asia Corporate/M&A Alert

Author: Joseph Tseng Contact: Jacqueline Fu

On January 8, 2016, an amendment (the "**Amendment**") to Taiwan's Business Mergers and Acquisition Act (the "**Act**") has become effective. Through this amendment, the government hopes to encourage M&A activities in Taiwan by providing more flexibility in structuring, relaxing restrictions on consideration, and increasing the efficiency of M&A procedures, while strengthening transparency to enhance stakeholder protection.

Simplified Procedures for Straightforward Transactions

The Amendment's first feature is to provide simplified procedures for certain straightforward transactions:

- A. Merger between subsidiaries under common control. Although the current Act does not require shareholder approval for mergers between a parent and its subsidiary if the parent owns at least 90% of the subsidiary's issued shares (i.e., a short-form merger), it does not provide a solution for mergers between subsidiaries, which makes corporate restructuring less straightforward. The Amendment provides that, if a parent company owns at least 90% of the issued shares of two subsidiaries, the two subsidiaries may merge into or with each other upon the approval of a majority of the directors in a board meeting attended by at least two-thirds of the directors (a "Special Board Approval") of each subsidiary (Section 19, Paragraph 1 of the Amendment).
- B. Whale-minnow share swap. Under the current Act, a "share swap" is defined as a share-for-share swap in which a company (the "Acquired Company") transfers all issued shares to another company as the payment of subscription price of the newly issued shares of such company (the "Acquiring Company"), which requires the approval of the shareholders of both the Acquired Company and the Acquiring Company. This would result in the Acquiring Company holding 100% of the issued shares of the Acquired Company.

The Amendment now requires only Special Board Approvals for both the Acquiring Company and the Acquired Company if:

(i) the new shares issued by the Acquiring Company in the share swap do not exceed 20% of its issued shares; and

the value of the cash and asset consideration combined does not exceed 2% of the Acquiring Company's net worth (for details regarding the relaxation of restrictions on consideration for share swaps, please see Relaxation of Restrictions on Consideration);

provided that shareholder approval is required (x) with respect to the Acquired Company if its assets are not sufficient to meet its liabilities, or (y) with respect to the Acquiring Company if it is necessary to amend its articles of incorporation (Section 29, Paragraph 6 of the Amendment).

- C. <u>Short-form share swap</u>. As in the case of a short-form merger, the Amendment now also allows a share swap with Special Board Approval by each of the Acquired Company and the Acquiring Company if the Acquiring Company holds at least 90% of the issued shares of the Acquired Company (Section 30, Paragraph 1 of the Amendment).
- D. Whale-minnow spinoff. The current Act requires shareholder approval to spin off an independent business division as a separate company. The Amendment now allows doing so with only a Special Board Approval if the business value of such independent business section is no more than 2% of the net worth of the company, provided that shareholder approval is still required if it is necessary to amend the articles of incorporation of the company (Section 36, Paragraph 1 of the Amendment).

If the independent business section is to be assumed by an existing company, it would require only a Special Board Approval if:

- (i) the new shares issued by the existing company for the assumption of such independent business section do not exceed 20% of its issued shares; and
- (ii) the value of the cash and asset consideration combined does not exceed 2% of the existing company's net worth (for the relaxation of restrictions on consideration for spinoffs, please see **Relaxation of Restrictions on Consideration**):

provided that shareholder approval of the existing company is still required if its assets are not sufficient to meet its liabilities, or it is necessary to amend its articles of incorporation (Section 36, Paragraph 2 of the Amendment).

E. <u>Short-form spinoff</u>. If a subsidiary spins off an independent business division to its parent company and receives all consideration for the spinoff, the Amendment requires only a Special Board Approval by both the parent and the subsidiary if the parent holds at least 90% of the issued shares of the subsidiary.¹

Relaxation of Restrictions on Consideration

The most noticeable change in the Amendment is that cash and assets are also allowed as consideration for share swaps and spinoffs.

¹ Under the Act, consideration for a spinoff can be paid to the company spinning off its business, its shareholders, or a combination of both.

Under the current Act, consideration paid by the Acquiring Company in a share swap and by a company assuming the spun off business section in a spinoff (such company, a "transferee company") must be its newly issued shares. The Amendment opens the door to other types of consideration, including cash and assets. Therefore, there could be, for example, a cash-for-share share swap or cash-for-asset spinoff.

Moreover, according to the published reasons for legislation, it also appears that the Amendment would allow paying different types or different combinations of consideration to different shareholders so long as it can demonstrate that their value is equal. The Amendment would also allow shareholders to be offered options to choose from different types or different combinations of consideration (published reasons for legislation under Sections 22, 31, and 38 of the Amendment). This would enable flexibility in structuring consideration and facilitate provision of the right incentives for shareholders with different interests.

Forward Triangular Merger

It should also be noted that under Section 8, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the Amendment, the parent company of the surviving company in a merger can issue new shares for its subsidiary's merger with another company without regard to the statutory preemptive right. The published reasons for legislation recognize that it is common for a company to want to set up a special purpose vehicle in order to merge with another company, yet issue new shares directly to the shareholders of the dissolved company in a merger so that the special purpose vehicle would be wholly-owned. It appears to be the legislators' intent to allow "forward triangular mergers".

Please note that under the current Act, consideration for a merger can be "shares of another company". Under a literal interpretation, "shares of another company" could be shares of the surviving company's parent company. However, the Ministry of Economic Affairs (the "MOEA", the competent authority of the Act) limited the scope of "shares of another company" to long-term investment in the surviving company in its 2002 interpretation that substantially ruled out "forward triangular mergers"². It remains to be seen whether the MOEA would rescind its 2002 interpretation.

Multi-Phase Takeover vs Market Manipulation

A common method of takeover of a public company is that the acquirer begins to acquire the target company's shares in the market to gain partial possession before launching a takeover bid. If shares were acquired by multiple persons making joint efforts, then there could be transfers among them after the initial buy-in.

In Taiwan, uncertainties surface with respect to whether such actions would constitute market manipulation under Section 155 of the Securities and Exchange Act, as such actions tend to involve buyers continuously buying into a target's shares at a higher price and transferring the shares at agreed prices among them. Cases have been brought to the court to determine whether the participants had the intent to raise or lower the market price of the target shares. The Supreme Court has ruled that if the participants acquire target shares with

² Under an official interpretation issued by the MOEA in 2002, the MOEA holds the view that "shares of the other company" refers to the long-term investment of the company in another company.

a view to control the management of the company, then the participants did not possess the intent to manipulate the market price³.

The Amendment aims to eliminate such uncertainties by allowing the acquirer, individually or jointly with others, to purchase no more than 10% of the issued shares of the target company (Section 27, Paragraph 10 of the Amendment). Transfers among the participants are required to be made during the market hours or through after-hours transactions on the public markets (Section 27, Paragraph 13 of the Amendment). Once the acquirer gains more than 10% of the issued shares of the target company, it is required to announce its acquisition intent and other information required by the Financial Supervisory Commission (Section 27, Paragraph 14 of the Amendment). Failure to make such an announcement would cause the voting rights of the portion of shares exceeding 10% non-exercisable (Section 27, Paragraph 15 of the Amendment).

Voting Rights

Under the Company Law, a shareholder who has a personal interest in a matter under discussion at a meeting, where such matter may impair the interests of the company, shall not vote nor exercise voting rights on behalf of another shareholder. Such provision is applied *mutatis mutandis* to a director in a board meeting.

The current Act provides an exemption from the above voting restriction to mergers, but does not allow exemptions for the other types of M&A transactions under the current Act, i.e., acquisition, share swap, and spin-off. In these cases voting rights are still non-exercisable due to the aforementioned conflict-of-interest provisions under the Company Law⁴. The Amendment now provides for exemptions to all other types of M&A transactions under the Act.

Stakeholder Protection

The Amendment is intended to increase transparency and efficiency in terms of stakeholder protection by the relevant measures set forth below:

- A. <u>Conflicts of Interest</u>. In relation to the exercise of voting rights, a director is required to disclose details of his/her personal interest and the reasons for his/her support for or objection to the resolution seeking to approve an M&A transaction at the board meeting and the shareholders' meeting (Section 5, Paragraph 3 of the Amendment).
- B. <u>Special Committee</u>. Public companies involved in M&A matters must form a special committee to evaluate the fairness and reasonableness of the M&A transaction before the board approves such transaction. The results of the evaluation by the special committee must be distributed to the board of directors (and the shareholders, if shareholder approval is necessary). The special committee can engage an independent expert to provide an opinion regarding the fairness of the consideration in the M&A transaction. In a case where a public company has already formed an audit committee, the audit committee will be charged with the responsibilities of such special committee (Section 6 of the Amendment).

³ 2007 Supreme Court Criminal Decision Tai-Shang-Zi No. 1119

⁴ MOEA Interpretation No. 09102102680 (dated June 3, 2002)

- C. <u>Privatization</u>. If a company listed on the Taiwan Stock Exchange or traded on the Taipei Exchange (formerly, GreTai Securities Market) will become delisted following the M&A transaction, for the purpose of protecting shareholder interest the Amendment requires a shareholder approval by two-thirds of the total issued shares (Section 18, Paragraph 3; Section 27, Paragraph 3; Section 28, Paragraph 4; Section 29, Paragraph 3; and Section 35, Paragraph 4 of the Amendment) in order to approve the M&A transaction.
- D. Appraisal Right. Under the current Act and the Company Law, a shareholder must exercise appraisal rights within 20 days of the date of the relevant resolution of the directors or the shareholders, as required, regarding the M&A transaction. If the company and the shareholder have agreed as to a purchase price, it must be paid within 90 days of the date of relevant shareholder resolution. If, however, the shareholder could not reach agreement on a purchase price with the company within 60 days of the date of the relevant resolution, the shareholder must file a petition with the court within 30 days thereafter in order to resolve the disagreement regarding the purchase price.

The Amendment makes the following major changes to the above mechanism in the event that agreement on a purchase price cannot be reached between the shareholder and the company within 60 days of the date of the relevant shareholder resolution:

- (i) The company must first pay a self-determined fair price to the shareholder within 90 days of the date of the relevant shareholder resolution. If the company fails to make the payment within the prescribed time, then the company is deemed to have agreed to the price proposed by the shareholders exercising appraisal rights (Section 12, Paragraph 5 of the Amendment).
- (ii) The company must file a petition with the court within 30 days of the aforementioned deadline for agreement on a purchase price with the shareholders, naming all shareholders whose purchase prices have not been agreed upon as respondents seeking a price determination (Section 12, Paragraph 6 of the Amendment). The company will bear the costs of the appraisal proceedings (Section 12 of the Amendment).
- E. <u>Information Asymmetry</u>. To provide shareholders with sufficient information in relation to the M&A transaction the company is required to provide a plan for the merger, share swap, or spinoff, the related agreements, and the opinions of the special committee (or audit committee) and independent experts to the shareholders (Sections 7, 19, 22, 30, 31, 37, and 38 of the Amendment).
- F. <u>Public Announcements in Lieu of Notices for Public Companies</u>. To promote efficiency regarding disclosure of information, the Amendment allows public companies to disclose relevant information on the Market Observation Post System (Taiwan's equivalent of EDGAR), and make disclosures with the same content at both the company's registered address and the venue of the shareholders' meeting in lieu of notices to each of the shareholders (Section 7 of the Amendment).

G. Employee

(i) Transfer of the Labor Retirement Reserve Fund ("LRR Fund")

The LRR Fund is comparable to a defined benefit pension plan under the Labor Standards Act⁵. Under such plan, the employer contributes to the LLR Fund based on a formula that calculates the necessary contributions to meet the defined benefit. Under the current Act, contributions to the LLR fund are required to be sufficient to cover the amount specified as the minimum for suspension of contribution set by the applicable labor laws before all the remaining LLR Fund (or the amount of LLR Fund in proportionate to the transferred assets or businesses) are transferred to the surviving company of a merger (or the acquiring/transferee company) in an acquisition or spinoff.

Since the surviving company in a merger will generally assume the rights and obligations of the merged company, the Amendment only requires transfer of the entire remaining LLR Fund to the surviving company. In the case of an acquisition or spinoff, if all employees under the LLR Fund are retained by the acquiring/transferee company, then contributions to the LLR Fund do not need to be sufficient to cover the minimum amount before its transfer, and all of the remaining LLR Fund can be transferred to the acquiring/transferee company (Section 15 of the Amendment).

(ii) Severance/Pension

Under the current Act, if an employee agrees to be retained by the new employer (i.e., the surviving/acquiring/transferee company in a merger, acquisition, or spinoff), but later decides against it, he/she is not eligible for severance. The Amendment considers that an employee still encounters substantial changes to his/her employment during M&A, so the change makes employees who agreed to be retained by the new employer but then decided against it prior to the effective date of M&A eligible for severance and pension (Sections 16 and 17 of the Amendment).

H. <u>Creditors' Objection</u>. The current Act allows creditors' objections only to resolutions regarding mergers or spinoffs. The Amendment also provides creditors with the opportunity to raise objections to the general assumption and transfer of assets and liabilities, or the transfer or assumption of all or substantially all assets or businesses (Section 27, Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Amendment).

Author:

Joseph Tseng

joseph.tseng@klgates.com +1.886.2.2326.5177 Contact:

Jacqueline Fu

jacqueline.fu@klgates.com +1.886.2.2326.5125

⁵ Taiwan has promulgated the Labor Pension Act, effective since July 1, 2005, under which a defined contribution pension plan with individual accounts has been implemented.

More Flexible and Efficient, with Emp	phasis on Stakeholder Protection: Taiwan
Amends the Business Mergers and A	acquisitions Act

K&L GATES

Anchorage Austin Beijing Berlin Boston Brisbane Brussels Charleston Charlotte Chicago Dallas Doha Dubai Front Worth Frankfurt

Harrisburg Portland Portland Raleigh Research Triangle Park San Francisco Sao Paulo Warsaw Washington, D.C. Wilmington

K&L Gates comprises more than 2,000 lawyers globally who practice in fully integrated offices located on five continents. The firm represents leading multinational corporations, growth and middle-market companies, capital markets participants and entrepreneurs in every major industry group as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philanthropic organizations and individuals. For more information about K&L Gates or its locations, practices and registrations, visit www.klgates.com.

This publication is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer.

© 2016 K&L Gates LLP. All Rights Reserved.