LEGAL INSIGHT

K&L GATES

4 March 2016

Practice Group(s):

Internal Investigations, Government Enforcement, White Collar Crime/Criminal Defense

Expanded protections for documents developed in the course of internal investigations and located at the client's business premises

By Dr. Wilhelm Hartung

Summary

A ruling by the Regional Court (*Landgericht*) of Braunschweig published late last year has strengthened the protections of confidential information gained or developed in the course of internal investigations. Previously, a significant limitation to the confidentiality of investigation reports had been that – while its protection against seizure had generally been acknowledged (Regional Court of Mannheim, decision of 3 July 2012 – 24 Qs 1/12), the protections only applied if the documents were in possession of the law firm and not with the client (see alert "Legal Privilege for Information in Investigations in Germany – New Developments" of 27 September 2012). The Regional Court of Braunschweig has now held that documents in the care of a corporate client also are exempted from seizure if they evidently were prepared for the purpose of preparing the corporation's legal defence in administrative offence proceedings, which could be anticipated, even if the investigation or proceeding has not already been initiated (decision 6 Qs 116/15).

Facts

The case decided by the Regional Court of Braunschweig involved an order of the Local Court (*Amtsgericht*) of Braunschweig to seize documents found during a search of the business premises of a corporation and one of its subsidiaries. These documents contained a report on an internal investigation that had been prepared by outside counsel engaged by the corporation in the wake of previous proceedings unrelated to the search, in which the public prosecutor (*Staatsanwaltschaft*) had been pursuing potential tax evasion by one of the corporation's managing directors. Another document also seized was a separate report prepared by the company's internal audit function. At the time of the search and seizure, no administrative offence proceedings against the corporation or the relevant subsidiary were pending.

Decision

The Braunschweig Regional Court held that documents that have been prepared for the purpose of defending a corporation in possible legal proceedings are exempt from seizure, even if the documents are possessed by and located at the business premises of the potentially accused person or entity. It was not regarded a requirement that legal proceedings must have been initiated already; they must only have been anticipated. Furthermore, it was not held necessary that the documents have been developed by outside legal counsel; even notes prepared by staff (in-house legal counsel or otherwise)

Expanded protections for documents developed in the course of internal investigations and located at the client's business premises

of the potentially accused corporation would enjoy protection from seizure, as long as the main purpose was to prepare for the defence of anticipated future legal proceedings. Such purpose must be identifiable in the documents and/or the circumstances. In regard to the seized internal audit report, the court held that it was not protected because there was insufficient evidence that it was prepared for purposes of a legal defence.

The decision is final and cannot be appealed. However, it is not binding on other courts.

Analysis

The legal situation regarding the exemption from seizure of documents gained in the course of an internal investigation so far has not been very clear.

In the past, effective confidentiality protection of documents related to internal investigations faced several obstacles, including:

- A company cannot itself be an accused party in a criminal investigation, but only individuals among its employees; therefore, it had been a widely held view by courts and in German legal literature that individuals were not protected against seizure of documents at a law firm with which they did not have an attorney-client relationship (*cf.* section 97 para. 1 no. 3 and section 97 para 2 sentence 1 German Code of Criminal Procedure (*Strafprozessordnung*, StPO)). This was somewhat softened by court decisions applying an amended section 160a StPO to the effect that defence counsel, whether for individuals or for corporations, enjoyed protection of their clients' confidentiality, with the caveat, however, that the relevant documents must be in the lawyer's care; no protection was afforded to documents in the care of the client (*cf.* Regional Court of Mannheim, decision of 3 July 2012 24 Qs 1/12 "Legal Privilege for Information in Investigations in Germany New Developments" of 27 September 2012).
- Defence documents are only protected from seizure if (i) a criminal or administrative offence investigation has been initiated against the accused, and (ii) there is a client-attorney-relationship in criminal or administrative offence matters between the accused and the attorney, which can only be assumed <u>after</u> the specific mandate has been issued and accepted but not during the initiation process (*cf.* Regional Court of Bonn, decision of 21 June 2012 27 Qs 2/12).

By contrast, some lower court decisions relied on arguments supporting the legal privilege, such as:

- The formal initiation of and the client's knowledge about criminal proceedings is not necessary to support protection; rather, the decision rests on whether or not the client anticipated such proceedings and if the relevant documents have been prepared explicitly and identifiably for defence purposes (*cf.* Regional Court of Giessen, decision of 25 June 2012 – 7 Qs 100/12, as well as Regional Court of Frankfurt, decision of 23 April 2004 – 5/2 Qs I/04 on documents developed for the same case, but only in relation to a criminal investigation of a different public agency).
- A professional relationship of trust between the accused (individual or corporation) and the lawyer already exists in the initiation process leading towards a formal retention (*cf.* German Federal Court of Justice, decision of February 2014 – StB 8/13).

Expanded protections for documents developed in the course of internal investigations and located at the client's business premises

The Regional Court of Braunschweig now has followed the latter two positions in its decision. This is good news. Companies are obliged to follow up on any leads regarding a possible breach of compliance. Law firms are regularly retained to perform internal investigations in such matters, with the specific purpose to prepare for the company's legal defence. These internal investigations often times are meant to (and indeed do) take place prior to the initiation of any public prosecutor's investigation. It would thus be neither proper nor practical to deny confidentiality protection to documents gained and prepared in such investigations.

Recommendations for practice

The Braunschweig ruling, although final, does not have binding effect on other courts. For that reason, and in view of the still conflicting views in the legal literature, it remains important in internal investigations to define the scope of the mandate in a way that it already covers the defence in possible legal proceedings and to beware that documents (such as a report or interview memoranda), even if clearly identified as confidential and prepared by outside counsel, may not be secure from seizure in all circumstances if kept in the care of the client.

Author:

Dr. Wilhelm Hartung wilhelm.hartung@klgates.com +49.30.220.029.220

K&L GATES

Anchorage
Austin
Beijing
Berlin
Boston
Brisbane
Brussels
Charleston
Charlotte
Chicago
Dallas
Doha
Dubai
Fort Worth
Frankfurt

Harrisburg
Hong Kong
Houston
London
Los Angeles
Melbourne
Miami
Milan
Newark
New York
Orange
County
Palo Alto
Paris

Perth
Pittsburgh
Portland
Raleigh
Research Triangle
Park
San Francisco
São Paulo
Seattle
Seau
Shanghai
Singapore
Sydney

Taipei
Tokyo
Warsaw
Washington, D.C.
Willimgton
San Francisco
San Paulo
San Francisco
San Paulo
San Paulo</

K&L Gates comprises approximately 2,000 lawyers globally who practice in fully integrated offices located on five continents. The firm represents leading multinational corporations, growth and middle-market companies, capital markets participants, and entrepreneurs in every major industry group, as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philanthropic organizations, and individuals. For more information about K&L Gates or its locations, practices, and registrations, visit <u>www.klgates.com</u>.

This publication is for informational purposes and does not contain or convey legal advice. The information herein should not be used or relied upon in regard to any particular facts or circumstances without first consulting a lawyer.

© 2016 K&L Gates LLP. All Rights Reserved.