

NIPPC 2016 Annual Meeting Energy Infrastructure and Carbon Policy: Impacts & Opportunities Ankur Tohan & Alyssa Moir



MORNING ROAD MAP



K&L GATES

POWER PRODUCTION IN A CARBON-CONSTRAINED WORLD: DEVELOPERS, SELLERS & BUYERS





DEVELOPERS: CARBON POLICY AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW





DEVELOPERS: CARBON POLICY AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

CEQ Guidance:

Federal agencies should include consideration of GHG emissions and climate change impacts in NEPA alternatives analyses. Eliminated its 25,000 ton per year CO2-e emissions threshold for triggering the guidance; instead requires that agencies consider the direct and indirect effects of all actions.

Clarified that GHG emissions from direct and indirect effects must be in a NEPA analysis.

Reduced emphasis on the cost-benefit analysis and social cost of carbon.

http://www.klgates.com/ceq-issues-final-greenhouse-gas-guidance-directing-federal-agenciesto-consider-climate-change-in-their-nepa-reviews-08-04-2016/

K&L GATES



DEVELOPERS : CARBON POLICY AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

WildEarth Guardians v. Jewel (2013) D.C. Circuit Court: "Because current science does not allow for the specificity demanded by the plaintiffs, the BLM was not required to identify specific effects on the climate in order to prepare an adequate EIS."

WildEarth Guardians v. USFS (2015) • D.C. District Court: "[o]ther unknown variables were identified which prevented more meaningful prediction of impacts of the projects on global climate change, including by way of example, unknown naturally occurring events such as volcanic eruptions and variations in solar activities, or transportation of coal by rail..."

Freeport and Sabine Pass LNG Export Terminals

- D.C Circuit Court: NEPA does not require consideration of upstream emissions of natural gas production that could contribute to climate change:
 - "potential environmental effects associated with additional natural gas production [were not] sufficiently causally related to the Freeport LNG Projects to warrant a detailed analysis," and
 - "The Commission adequately explained why it was not reasonably foreseeable that greater production capacity at the Terminal separate and apart from any export activity would induce additional domestic natural gas production."



DEVELOPERS: CARBON POLICY AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW



Impacts:

- Delayed NEPA review
- Closer scrutiny of relationship between proposed action and climate change
- Plan to mitigate carbon impacts



Opportunities:

- Increased need for carbon offsets from renewables.
- Develop more consistent methodologies for assessing climate change impacts

SELLING & BUYING POWER & CARBON: PATCHWORK OF CARBON REGULATION





BUYER & SELLERS - POWER



BUYERS & SELLERS - POWER

or in its

practical

effect.

Dormant Commerce Clause

If a State statute discriminates against outof-state entities

in its purpose,

on its

face.

then it is unconstitutional unless the statute serves a legitimate local purpose, and this purpose could not be served as well by available nondiscriminatory means.



BUYERS & SELLERS - POWER

MN's "Next Generation Energy Act"

• North Dakota v. Heydinger (2016):

- Violates Dormant Commerce Clause
- Contravenes exclusive jurisdiction of FERC
- Conflicts with SIP regulatory scheme under Clean Air Act.

Compare CO's Renewable Energy Standard • Energy & Envt. Legal Inst. v. Epel (2015): Because all fossil fuel producers in the area served by the grid would be hurt equally and all renewable energy producers in the area will be helped equally, the plaintiffs failed to demonstrate how Colorado's RES "disproportionately harms out-of-state businesses."









BUYERS & SELLERS – CARBON CREDITS

 \bigcirc





WA'S CLEAN AIR RULE COVERED CATEGORIES











Industrial

combustion

of woody

biomass

SOURCES NOT SUBJECT TO CAR







Emissions associated with imported electricity

TransAlta Coal-Fired Power Plant

Agricultural Practices



CAR THRESHOLDS AND TIMELINES

<u>2017</u>

- Initial threshold of 100,000 MT CO₂e.
- Any non-excluded source emitting GHGs above this threshold is assigned a reduction pathway and must reduce their GHG emissions by 5% every three years.

<u>2020</u>

- Threshold ratchets down to 95,000 MT CO₂e.
 - Any non-excluded source enters if their 3 year average GHG emissions are above this threshold.
- Reductions begin for EITEs.
- First progress demonstration covering the 2017–2019 compliance period.
- Compliance periods every 3 years thereafter.

2023 and beyond

- Threshold ratchets down to 90,000 MT CO₂e.
- Decreases continue by 5000 MT CO₂e every 3 years until lower threshold of 70,000 MT CO₂e is reached in 2035.



CAR COMPLIANCE

Emission Reduction Measures or Carbon Offsets:

Energy efficiency above costeffective threshold required by Energy Independence Act

Renewable energy not used for Energy Independence Act renewable portfolio standard Purchase emissions reductions credits (ERUs) from another registered emitter that has voluntarily opted into the program.

Purchase carbon credits from external carbon market Develop or fund a program in WA that permanently reduces carbon in an Ecologyapproved manner.





No limit on where to shop for ERUs.

meet compliance Allocate ERUs equal to the baseline emissions value for each covered Recognize party. early action.

Require ERU retirements in an amount equal to reduction obligations.

Require actual

reductions of

emissions to

obligations

before using

ERUs for any

other purpose.



CAR - ECOLOGY'S PROPOSED FIX





I-732 CARBON TAX





I-732 CARBON TAX



If enacted, I-732 will implement a tax on each metric ton of carbon dioxide from fossil fuels sold or used within the state.



- The tax would be applied to:
- Consumption of electricity (in-state utilities and direct-service industrial customers)
- Refinery operations
- Other emissions

Will increase over time, from \$15/MT to \$25/MT by 2018. Not to exceed \$100/MT.



CALIFORNIA



K&L GATES

CALIFORNIA

California using proceeds from auctions for a larger variety of state needs. California Chamber of Commerce v. CARB

> Morning Star Packing Co. v. CARB

Original climate change legislation did not pass by a 2/3 vote.

Carbon market swooned in 2016. Auctions have been selling only 30% or less of available offsets Uncertainty regarding renewal of cap-and-trade legislation (resolved)



CALIFORNIA

Extended Climate Change Package

SB 32:

Requires GHG emissions to be 40% below 1990 levels by 2030

Complements SB 350:

Increases CA's renewable goal from 33% by 2020 to 50% by 2030. Requires doubling in energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas end uses by 2030. AB 197:

CARB must prioritize regulations that result in direct emission reductions at large stationary sources of GHGs.

Aimed at reducing environmental justice concerns of cap-andtrade; may result in an altered carbon market if emitters must actually reduce emissions rather than buy allowances or credits.

K&L GATES

CALIFORNIA

CA Chamber of Commerce v. CARB

Unconstitutional tax?

Revenue expenditures with an insufficient nexus to regulation of GHGs?

Remedy?



CALIFORNIA

Solutions Proposed by IETA:

Revenue from auctions is compensation for the use of the atmosphere;

Auction allowances are property rights, but only as between private parties, not between parties and CARB;

"Interlocutory Remand"

• Don't vacate; retain jurisdiction and modify after the post-2020 program begins.



OREGON









2017

Expect capand-trade to be back on legislative docket.

2015

Proposed capand-trade with full linkage to California and WCI markets.

Separate budget bill resulted in \$230K to DEQ to study capand-trade markets.



klgates.com



CASES LEADING UP TO THE CLEAN POWER PLAN

Massachusetts v. EPA (2007) • EPA has authority to regulate GHGs as "air pollutants" under the CAA.

American Electric Power Co. Inc. v. Connecticut (2011) The CAA and EPA's actions pursuant to the CAA displace any federal common law emissions claims.

Coalition for Responsible Regulation et al. v. EPA et al. (2012)

• Upholding EPA's finding that GHGs endanger human health and welfare.



CASES LEADING UP TO THE CLEAN POWER PLAN

EPA v. Homer EME Generation LP et al. (2014) Upholding EPA's interpretation of the "Good Neighbor Provision" of the CAA in implementing a federal scheme for emissions that cross state lines.

Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA (2014) Rejecting EPA's expansion of CAA's Title V and Prevention of Significant Deterioration permitting programs to include GHGs.





CLEAN POWER PLAN



By 2030, **power sector** GHGs from existing sources are to be reduced by 32% from 2005 levels.

Source: Energy Information Administration. The Clean Power Plan is included in the reference case.

K&L GATES

CLEAN POWER PLAN

Establishes state GHG targets based on baseline emissions from 2012 layered over with the three "building blocks."





CLEAN POWER PLAN



States have broad flexibility to craft individual emissions reduction plans.



CLEAN POWER PLAN - LITIGATION

October 2015 Lawsuit filed by 27 states

February 2016 Stay granted by U.S. Supreme Court

Justice Scalia passes away days later

September 27

Oral argument before the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals (limited to 3 hours).



CLEAN POWER PLAN

 \bigcirc




FIGURE 1. The Clean Power Plan Diversifies Our Nation's Electricity Mix



The Clean Power Plan helps accelerate our nation's transition toward a more diversified portfolio of cleaner energy sources. © Union of Concerned Scientists 2016; www.ucsusa.org/cleanpowerplannational











 \bigcirc

ERCs v. RECs in Renewable Energy Markets

	ERCs	RECs
Usage	Demonstrate compliance with a rate-based emission standard by adjusting its emission rate and claiming lower emissions from generation.	Used to verify compliance with RPSs and to substantiate marketing claims; a consumer uses RECs to substantiate voluntary environmental claims (e.g. support for a particular kind of energy, or a lower carbon footprint).
What they represent	Single attribute; avoided emissions at an affected EGU.	Multiple generation and environmental attributes (resource type, vintage, direct emissions, location, etc.) at renewable generators.



For Power Purchase Agreements in Rate-Based States:

- Broadly define "environmental attributes"
- Purchase RECs from renewable energy suppliers that began operation prior to 2013 or generation occurring up to 2022.
- Specify/attest that the renewable energy represented by the RECs is distinct from the generation supporting ERCs.

For PPAs in Mass-Based States:

• Buy and *retire* allowances equivalent to purchased RECs so that purchased renewable energy results in reduced emissions.

Rejection of off-grid renewables as offsets similar to CPP.

States may align evaluation, measurement and verification protocols with the CPP. May require renewable energy generators to deliver in-state to ensure local benefits as a condition to issuing ERCs or offsets.

More consistency across state RPS programs



CLEAN POWER PLAN - OPPORTUNITIES



© INKCINCT Cartoons www.inkcinct.com.au



CLEAN POWER PLAN – OPPORTUNITIES





CLEAN POWER PLAN - OPPORTUNITIES

Carbon market design considerations

Statewide emissions budgets

Auctions vs. free allocation Costs to covered entities and end-use consumers

Compatibility with state's power markets Impact on power generation, transmission and consumption.



CLEAN POWER PLAN - OPPORTUNITIES

FIGURE 2. State-by-State Average Annual Carbon Revenues from Auctioning Allowances under the CPP National Trading Case, 2022–2030



By auctioning carbon allowances and participating in an interstate carbon trading program, states could generate a combined \$17.8 billion in average annual revenues from 2022 to 2030 under the CPP National Trading Case. These carbon revenues could help offset higher consumer electricity bills or be reinvested for the benefit of each state's residents.

* The EPA has exempted Alaska and Hawaii from the CPP for now because of insufficient data to establish emissions-reduction targets for these two states. Vermont has no obligation under the CPP because there are no fossil-fuel power stations within its borders.

© Union of Concerned Scientists 2016; www.ucsusa.org/cleanpowerplannational

klgates.com

K&L GATES

BACK TO THE FUTURE







THANK YOU!





Ankur TohanAlyssa Moirankur.tohan@klgates.comalyssa.moir@klgates.com206-370-7658206-370-7965



"Yes ... I believe there's a question in the back."